

Call attention to:

4-27-04

1. Call to Action
MP-4
2. Pollard Hint
3. Post "uses"

["Next-Use"
Symposium,

various WB's 4. Effects of security
review for U.S. leaks
("How to avoid Next-Use")

5. Announce change in context

- Events in Iraq

(Rajab and Fallujah
attacked today)

- Terrorist attack in US

- [Major Revolution about
Bush

- "AWOC" / code

- 9-11

increased incentives for

(another) coup : as in Nov 00

- Pollard state in US

+ 9-11

all by
June ?!

Pollard
State

Grant concepts:

1) "Nuclear Next-Use"

(including
major threat
as in Iraq

2) Who will avoid NV-U
+ How?

me?

(see WB/Director!
as could have avoided
VN

Iraq
(Gulf War?
9-11 ?!

[3) TDA TMI Nic VN

(like Asian planners 9-11

NSC-68

"New Pearl Harbor"

TG/Quinton

Scenario Approach

Learning from the Future

(Clarke
+ Atlantic)

~~3~~ Best-cases:

(Clarke
on T and
US response)

1) a "good" non-use

- a failed ^{like Okla. City} home-grown
terrorist ^{weapon}, produces a
diff-bult explosion

Tripes -
morrison at home - leads to "waking up,"
- to multilateral
- us. are wps.
- us. are energy

[Contingencies (non-certainities?)
(can't happen)

- frag "explosion" ~~after~~ US attacks
Rajab

(next qtr!)
2-18-05)

- Threat attack in US

11

- Police state in US
(Elections?)

yes

- Pursuit of PNAC policy
(S.A., Iran, Syria, Egypt,
North Korea

?

- Liked - Clinton foreign policy

- [NPT dissolves

before, or
after, NNU

virtual states go into

CTB - Treaty

ABM -

Who (could) stop Nuclear next use
(will)

Carter 1. A head-of-state "WB" —
like, Honorable who
influents CANDOR

2. A high-level WB —
Candy-bum-bum McN
O'Neill Powell
Cooke (Kerib
Short Rogers
Ball
H3

3. Lower-level:
(OE) Gunn Coe
Clarke
Dean
Grenill
Wilson

Reveal:

4-28-04

War Plans for GW

NSSM-3

Official Est. of Effects

Delegation / C³ / Deep

(Lack of planning for
alternates — as in Iraq)

US FC Threat / plans

— US "Non-prolif" NOAX

— Isolation of, aid to,
"friendly" prolif
(Israel; India? Pak?)

(Paper, Henry ... Dick, Ullman
(see ~~Dick Obama~~)

= Israel! — on ~~Israel~~; and (Khome
Santa Juan? Serbian speaker...)

Common about in scenarios:

A one explanation
even in those supposedly
addressed to eventing next
use!

There really addressed
eventing "next but one."

(We should not give up
on eventing next use!

2. on "Next But One:

a) plan TDA

b) Promulgate Program,
for adoption TDA

c) Prepare credibility for
Program + its advocates

3. ^{Find +} Expose real attitudes now:

- that promote proliferation
(of US, Pol, China, France...)

- recognize potential
benefits to possession + threat
(in reaction of public in Poland,
- that tolerate proliferation, India
possession + threat (US,
UK...)

Nine Powers / Nines

Q: How often have we
come "close" to a NPT
treaty, if ever?
What would it be?

(MCN "inevitable"?)
US - Real (MCN?) attitude as on UN!
US - US (SU) policy
US - NPT implementation (not "NPT")
Bilateral Comm — MP-II

Has group recognized:

- The forces pressing toward
profit & FU

and see: Competition, profits, new power, states prestige
Samson,

in USG and/dominant attitudes
to. - FU

- growing acquisition, develop,
infrastructure, stockpile,
FS cap. VS.

- FS/D-2

- multi-generations

(total; in perspective)

- Profit - promoted

- accepted

(lower priority

than ...

Pak,

[By these standards should
also consider options:

to ME problem:

1. Jews convert to Islam.

(least number of
changes needed)

2. Muslim convert to Judaism.

3. Both convert to Christianity:
under condition that America
esp. Jews, do not simultaneously convert
to Islam.